

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,681	Applicant(s) CELIK ET AL.	
	Examiner Ngoclan T. Mai	Art Unit 1742	

All Participants:

(1) Ngoclan T. Mai.

(2) Paul Bianco.
Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
1-4, 14, 18-19, 21
Prior art documents discussed:
Merz et al. 4,660,663
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Merz et al discloses the claimed alloy powder for electrical resistor. Examiner believes that claim(s) drawn to laminated ceramic capacitor allowable and suggests applicant to amend as such. Claims 8-10 and 16-17 should be cancelled.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)